

IMPLICIT ITERATION METHODS HILBERT SPACES

Nguyễn Đức Lang

University of Sciences, Thuonguyen University

E-mail: nguyenducelang2002@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce new implicit and an explicit iteration methods based on Krasnoselskii-Mann iteration method and a contraction for finding a fixed point of a nonexpansive self-mapping of a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A65, 47H17, 47H20, 49J30, 47H06.

Keywords: Metric projection, Nonexpansive mapping, fixed points, Nonexpansive Semigroups, variational inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$ and let T be a nonexpansive self-mapping of C , i.e., $T : C \rightarrow C$ and $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$. Denote the set of fixed points of T by $F(T)$, i.e., $F(T) := \{x \in C : x = Tx\}$, and the projection of $x \in H$ onto C by $P_C(x)$. In this paper, we assume that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.1. [1] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H and let T be a nonexpansive self-mapping of C such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let f be a contraction of C with a constant $\bar{\alpha} \in [0, 1)$ and let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence generated by: $x_1 \in C$ and*

$$x_k = \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} f(x_k) + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} Tx_k, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda_k}{1 + \lambda_k} f(x_k) + \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_k} Tx_k, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\{\lambda_k\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(L1) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = 0$;

(L2) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = \infty$; and

(L3) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right| = 0$.

Then, $\{x_k\}$ defined by (1.2) converges strongly to $p^* \in F(T)$, where $p^* = P_{F(T)} f(p^*)$ and $\{x_k\}$ defined by (1.1) converges to p^* only under condition (L1).

Note that $p^* = P_{F(T)} f(p^*)$ is equivalent to the following variational inequality:

$$p^* \in F(T) : \langle p^* - f(p^*), p - p^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in F(T). \quad (1.3)$$

It is easy to see that the mapping $I - f$, where I denotes the identity mapping in H , is $(1 + \bar{\alpha})$ -Lipschitz continuous and $(1 - \bar{\alpha})$ -strongly monotone.

In this paper, we propose some new modifications of (1.1) and (1.2) that are the implicit algorithm:

$$x_t = T^t x_t, \quad T^t := T_1^t T_0^t \quad \text{and} \quad T^t := T_0^t T_1^t, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.4)$$

where T_i^t are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^t &= (1 - \lambda_t \mu)I + \lambda_t \mu f, \\ T_1^t &= (1 - \beta_t)I + \beta_t T, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where f is a contraction with a constant $\tilde{\alpha} \in [0, 1]$, $\mu \in (0, 2(1 - \tilde{\alpha})/(1 + \tilde{\alpha})^2)$ and the parameters $\{\lambda_t\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\beta_t\} \subset (\alpha, \beta)$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and some $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ satisfying the following condition: $\lambda_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

We formulate the following facts for the proof of our results.

Lemma 1.1 [2]. (i) $\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle$ and for any fixed $t \in [0, 1]$

(ii) $\|(1 - t)x + ty\|^2 = (1 - t)\|x\|^2 + t\|y\|^2 - (1 - t)t\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$

Lemma 1.2 [3]. $\|T^\lambda x - T^\lambda y\| \leq \frac{(1 - \lambda\tau)}{(1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2))} \|x - y\|$ for a fixed number $\mu \in (0, 2\eta/L^2)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, where $\tau = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \mu(2\eta - \mu L^2)} \in (0, 1)$,

$$T^\lambda x = (I - \lambda \mu F)x$$

and F is L -Lipschitz continuous and η -strongly monotone.

Lemma 1.3 (Demiclosedness Principle [4]). Assume that T is a nonexpansive self-mapping of a closed convex subset K of a Hilbert space H . If T has a fixed point, then $I - T$ is demiclosed; that is, whenever $\{x_k\}$ is a sequence in K weakly converging to some $x \in K$ and the sequence $\{(I - T)x_k\}$ strongly converges to some y , it follows that $(I - T)x = y$.

2. IMPLICIT ITERATION METHODS

Theorem 2.1. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with a coefficient $\tilde{\alpha} \in [0, 1]$. Let T be a nonexpansive self-mapping of C such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mu \in (0, 2(1 - \tilde{\alpha})/(1 + \tilde{\alpha})^2)$. Then, the net $\{x_t\}$ defined by (1.4)-(1.5) converges strongly to the unique element p^* in (1.3).

Proof. First, we consider the case that $T^t = T_1^t T_0^t$. Clearly, T^t is a self-mapping of C and $T_0^t = I - \lambda_t \mu F$ where $F = I - f$. By (1.5) and Lemma 1.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^t x - T^t y\| &= \|T_1^t T_0^t x - T_1^t T_0^t y\| \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_t)T_0^t x + \beta_t T_0^t x - [(1 - \beta_t)T_0^t y + \beta_t T_0^t y]\| \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_t)(T_0^t x - T_0^t y) + \beta_t(T_0^t x - T_0^t y)\| \\ &\leq \|T_0^t x - T_0^t y\| \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda_t \tau)\|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C, \end{aligned}$$

where τ is defined in Lemma 1.2 with $\eta = 1 - \tilde{\alpha}$ and $L = 1 + \tilde{\alpha}$. So, T^t is a contraction of C . By Banach's Contraction Principle, there exists a unique element $x_t \in C$ such that $x_t = T^t x_t$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

Next, we show that $\{x_t\}$ is bounded. Indeed, it is easy to see that T_1^t also is nonexpansive with $T_1^t p = p$ for a fixed point $p \in F(T)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_t - p\| &= \|T^t x_t - p\| = \|T_1^t T_0^t x_t - T_1^t p\| \\ &\leq \|T_0^t x_t - p\| \\ &= \|T_0^t x_t - T_0^t p - \lambda_t \mu F(p)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda_t \mu) \|\dot{x}_t\| + \lambda_t \mu \|F(p)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_t - p\| \leq \frac{\mu}{\tau} \|F(p)\|$$

that implies the boundedness of $\{x_t\}$. Put $y_t = T_0^t x_t$. So, the nets $\{F(x_t)\}, \{y_t\}$ also are bounded. Moreover, we also have from (1.4)-(1.5) that

$$x_t = (1 - \beta_t)y_t + \beta_t T y_t, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_t - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_t)y_t + \beta_t T y_t - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y_t - p\|^2 = \|(I - \lambda_t \mu F)x_t - p\|^2 \\ &= \|x_t - p\|^2 - 2\lambda_t \mu \langle F(x_t), x_t - p \rangle + \lambda_t^2 \mu^2 \|F(x_t)\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(1 - \tilde{\alpha})\|x_t - p\|^2 + \langle F(p), x_t - p \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda_t \mu}{2} \|F(x_t)\|^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Further, we prove that $\|x_t - T x_t\| \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|y_t - x_t\| = \lambda_t \mu \|F(x_t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, because $\lambda_t \rightarrow 0$ and $\{F(x_t)\}$ is bounded. So, we shall prove that $\|y_t - T y_t\| \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\{t_k\} \subset (0, 1)$ be an arbitrary sequence converging to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_k := x_{t_k}, y_k := y_{t_k} = (1 - \lambda_k \mu)x_k + \lambda_k \mu f(x_k)$, where $\lambda_k = \lambda_{t_k}$. We have to prove that $\|y_k - T y_k\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $\{x_l\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_k\}$ and let $\{x_{k_j}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_l\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_k - T y_k\| &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|y_l - T y_l\|, \\ \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_l - p\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_j} - p\|.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.1) and Lemma 1.1, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{k_j} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_{k_j})(y_{k_j} - p) + \beta_{k_j}(T y_{k_j} - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|y_{k_j} - p\|^2 = \|(I - \lambda_{k_j} \mu F)x_{k_j} - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_{k_j} - p\|^2 + 2\lambda_{k_j} \mu \|F(x_{k_j})\| \|y_{k_j} - p\|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_j} - p\| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{k_j} - p\|, \quad (2.3)$$

since $\lambda_{k_j} \rightarrow 0$ and $\{F(x_{k_j})\}, \{y_{k_j}\}$ are bounded. Again, by Lemma 1.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{k_j} - p\|^2 &= (1 - \beta_{k_j})\|y_{k_j} - p\|^2 + \beta_{k_j}\|T y_{k_j} - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_{k_j}(1 - \beta_{k_j})\|y_{k_j} - T y_{k_j}\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{k_j})\|y_{k_j} - p\|^2 + \beta_{k_j}\|y_{k_j} - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_{k_j}(1 - \beta_{k_j})\|y_{k_j} - T y_{k_j}\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\alpha(1 - \beta)\|y_k - Ty_k\|^2 \leq \|y_k - p\|^2 - \|x_k - p\|^2.$$

This together with (2.3) implies that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{k_j} - Ty_{k_j}\|^2 = 0.$$

Consequently, $\|y_t - Ty_t\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\{x_k\}$ be any sequence of $\{x_t\}$ converging weakly to \tilde{p} as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\|x_k - Tx_k\| \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 1.3, we have $\tilde{p} \in F(T)$ and from (2.2), it follows that

$$\langle F(p), p - \tilde{p} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in F(T).$$

Since $p, \tilde{p} \in F(T)$ which is a closed convex subset, by replacing p by $tp + (1 - t)\tilde{p}$ in the last inequality, dividing by t and taking $t \rightarrow 0$ in the just obtained inequality, we obtain

$$\langle F(\tilde{p}), p - \tilde{p} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in F(T).$$

The uniqueness of p^* in (1.3) guarantees that $\tilde{p} = p^*$. Again, replacing p in (2.2) by p^* , we obtain the strong convergence for $\{x_t\}$.

The case that $T^t = T_0^t T_1^t$ is proved similarly. T^t is also a contraction. So, there exists a unique x_t for each $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $x_t = T_0^t T_1^t x_t$ and $\{x_t\}$ is bounded. Put $y_t = T_1^t x_t$. Then, the nets $\{F(y_t)\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ are also bounded. Further, (2.1) and (2.2) are replaced by

$$x_t = (I - \lambda_t \mu F)y_t, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1 - \tilde{\alpha})\|y_t - p\|^2 + \langle F(p), y_t - p \rangle \leq \frac{\lambda_t \mu}{2} \|F(y_t)\|^2,$$

respectively. From the last equality, the boundedness of $\{F(y_t)\}$ and $\lambda_t \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\|x_t - y_t\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Next, we prove that $\|x_t - Tx_t\| \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$. Let $\{t_k\} \subset (0, 1)$ be an arbitrary sequence converging to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_k := x_{t_k}$. We have to prove that $\|x_k - Tx_k\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $\{x_l\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_k\}$ and let $\{x_k\}$ be a subsequence of $\{x_l\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - Tx_k\| = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_l - Tx_l\|,$$

$$\limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|x_l - p\| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k_j} - p\|.$$

Further, the process of proof is similar as in the case that $T^t = T_1^t T_0^t$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-point problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 241 (2000) 46-55.

- [2] G. Marino and H.K. Xu, Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Applic. 329 (2007) 336-346.
- [3] Y. Yamada, The hybrid steepest-descent method for variational inequalities problems over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, Inherently parallel algorithms in feasibility and optimization and their applications, Edited by D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Holland, pp. 473-504, 2001.
- [4] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math., V. 28, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1990.

Phương pháp lặp ẩn trong không gian Hilbert

Nguyễn Đức Lạng

Trường Đại học Khoa học - Đại học Thái Nguyên

Tóm tắt

Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi đưa vào các phương pháp lặp ẩn dựa trên phương pháp lặp Krasnoselskii-Mann có tìm điểm bất động các ánh xạ không giãn từ một tập con lồi, đóng trong không gian Hilbert thực vào chính nó

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A65, 47H17, 47H20, 49J30, 47H06.

Keywords: Metric, xạ không giãn, Nửa nhóm không giãn, Điểm bất động, Bất đẳng thức biến phân.