# Joel J. Mintzes Emily M. Walter *Editors*

# Active<br/>Learning<br/>in College

The Case for Evidence-Based Practice



Active Learning in College Science

Joel J. Mintzes • Emily M. Walter Editors

# Active Learning in College Science

The Case for Evidence-Based Practice



*Editors* Joel J. Mintzes Departments of Biological Sciences and Science Education California State University Chico, CA, USA

Science Learning Associates Wrightsville Beach, NC, USA Emily M. Walter Department of Biological Sciences and Center for STEM Education California State University Fresno, CA, USA

### ISBN 978-3-030-33599-1 ISBN 978-3-030-33600-4 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33600-4

### © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG. The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland



The Medieval Lecture Bologna 1350 (Laurentius de Voltolina)

# **Distinguished Editorial Advisory Board**

Lori Breslow, Ph.D, Founding Director (STEM) MIT Teaching and Learning Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Brian Coppola, Ph.D. (Chemistry) Arthur F. Thurnau Professor of Chemistry University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Diane Ebert-May, Ph.D. (Biology) University Distinguished Professor of Plant Biology Michigan State University

Eugenia Etkina, Ph.D., Recipient (Physics) Robert A. Millikan Award for Excellence in Physics Education Professor of Science Education, Rutgers University, NJ

Eric Mazur, Ph.D. Balkonski Professor (Physics) Department of Physics and Applied Physics Harvard University

Joseph D. Novak, Ph.D. Professor Emeritus (Biology) Science Education and Biological Sciences Cornell University

Timothy F. Slater, Ph.D. (Earth and Space Sciences) Endowed Professor for Excellence in Higher Education University of Wyoming

Mary Pat Wenderoth, Ph.D. Principal Lecturer (Biology) Department of Biology University of Washington

## Preface

If a new antibiotic is being tested for effectiveness, its effectiveness at curing patients is compared with the best current antibiotics, and not with treatment by bloodletting. However, in undergraduate STEM education, we have the curious situation that, although more effective teaching methods have been overwhelmingly demonstrated, most STEM courses are still taught by lectures—the pedagogical equivalent of bloodletting. (Wieman 2014)

Nobel prize-winning Physicist and Stanford University Professor Carl E. Wieman succinctly summarizes the findings from a recent meta-analysis of over 200 studies that compared active learning approaches to standard lectures in college-level science courses (Freeman et al. 2014). Those studies found substantially *enhanced learning* and significantly *less failure* in courses that encourage "asking rather than telling" and "doing rather than sitting." The most successful practices were those that asked students to apply their knowledge rather than merely to absorb it. And yet in an age of instantly accessible knowledge, the majority of college science faculty continue to rely on teaching methods perfected in a medieval academy where the written word was the coveted possession of the fortunate few and where crumbs of insight were selectively dispensed to the masses in carefully measured doses.

This book is dedicated to an exploration of evidence-based practice in college science teaching. It is grounded in disciplinary education research by practicing scientists who have chosen to take Wieman's challenge seriously and to investigate claims about the efficacy of alternative strategies in college science teaching. In editing this book, we have chosen to showcase outstanding cases of exemplary practice supported by solid evidence and to give wider voice to practitioners who offer models of teaching and learning that meet the high standards of the scientific disciplines. Our intention is to let these scientists speak for themselves and to offer authentic guidance to those who seek models of excellence. Our primary audience is made up of the thousands of dedicated faculty and graduate students who teach undergraduate science at community and technical colleges, 4- year liberal arts institutions, comprehensive regional campuses, and flagship research universities.

In keeping with Wieman's challenge, our primary focus has been to uncover classroom practices that encourage and support meaningful learning and conceptual understanding in the natural sciences. Our own review of published work in the field suggests a useful way of classifying these classroom practices which provides a structural framework for this book. Following an *introduction* based on constructivist learning theory (Part I), the practices we explore are *Eliciting Ideas and Encouraging Reflection* (Part II), *Using Clickers to Engage Students* (Part III), *Supporting Peer Interaction with Small Group Activities* (Part IV); *Restructuring Curriculum and Instruction* (Part V), *Rethinking the Physical Environment* (Part VI), *Enhancing Understanding with Technology* (Part VII), and *Assessing Understanding* (Part VIII). The final part (IX) of the book is devoted to *professional issues* facing college and university faculty who choose to adopt active learning in their courses.

The common feature underlying all of the strategies described in this book is their emphasis on actively engaging students who seek to make sense of natural objects and events. Many of the strategies we highlight emerge from a constructivist view of learning that has gained widespread acceptance in recent years (Mintzes et al. 2005a, b). To constructivists, learners make sense of the world by forging connections between new ideas and those that are part of their existing knowledge base. For most students, that knowledge base is riddled with a host of naïve ideas, misconceptions, and alternative conceptions they have acquired throughout their lives. In large part, the job of the teacher is to elicit these ideas, to help students understand how their ideas differ from the scientifically accepted view, to assist as students restructure and reconcile their newly acquired knowledge, and to provide opportunities for students to evaluate what they have learned and apply it in novel circumstances. Clearly, this prescription demands far more than most college and university scientists have been prepared for.

The authors of this book are a diverse group of scientists who have experienced frustration with conventional practices and in turn have chosen to implement active learning strategies in their classrooms on an experimental basis. Many of them have extensive preparation in their discipline (e.g., biology, chemistry, earth and space sciences, physics) but little formal training in pedagogy or learning theory beyond the traditional graduate teaching assistantship. Here, they share the hard-won insights they have gained through daily practice and the results of well-designed studies to document their effectiveness. The chapters they write are authentic, first-hand accounts of instructional and curricular innovation supported by thousands of studies published in a range of widely read sources, including *Journal of College Science Teaching, CBE—Life Sciences Education, Journal of Chemical Education, Journal of Geoscience Education, American Journal of Physics*, and others.

But why would a college or university scientist read this book? Although many college and university faculty claim familiarity with one or more active learning strategies (e.g., clickers), few are conversant with the wide range of potential techniques, and fewer yet have implemented even one. Many reasons have been given for this failure (e.g., "I am a great lecturer. Why should I change?"), but one enduring obstacle is that adopting active learning strategies involves *risk*: risk of losing control, risk from lacking the needed skills to succeed, risk of being out of step with colleagues, and risk that students will reject the approach or fail to perform at expected levels. This book provides models of innovation by credible colleagues

from a wide range of scientific disciplines who offer advice, support, and tangible evidence that active learning works and that it can be implemented with reasonable success and acceptable risk.

In this book, we bring together in one place the best advice by the most authoritative voices in this rapidly emerging enterprise. For the first time, this book offers strong, evidence-based work on active learning practices from across disparate scientific disciplines in a single volume that speaks to the common concerns of all college science faculty. We purposefully eschew much educational jargon and complex statistical treatment (which obfuscate rather than illuminate) in favor of a common sense-scientific approach that appeals to a skeptical but open-minded reader. Our hope is that the readers will choose to try some of the strategies described in these pages and to investigate their effectiveness. We invite and encourage the readers to share their experiences with us (*sciencelearningassociates@gmail.com; ewalter@csufresno.edu*).

Chico, CA, USA Fresno, CA, USA Joel J. Mintzes Emily M. Walter

### References

- Wieman, C. (2014). Large-scale comparison of science teaching methods sends clear message. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111(23), 8319–8320.
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S., McDonough, M., Smith, M., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(23), 8412–8413.
- Mintzes, J., Wandersee, J., & Novak, J., (Eds). (2005a). Assessing science understanding: A human constructivist view. Burlington: Elsevier.
- Mintzes, J., Wandersee, J., & Novak, J., (Eds). (2005b). *Teaching science for understanding: A human constructivist view*. Burlington: Elsevier.

# **30 Active Learning Concepts**

This list defines several commonly used concepts encountered in the discussion of active learning in college science. It is followed by a figure that guides the reader to specific chapters addressing each of these concepts within several scientific disciplines.

- Active Learning: A model of instruction that encourages meaningful learning and knowledge construction through collaborative activities that support thinking and doing; "hands-on, minds-on teaching"
- **Assessment:** Tools or methods used to evaluate, measure, and document the outcomes of instruction; may be formative (low stakes, in-course) or summative (high stakes, end-of-course)
- Augmented Reality: An interactive computer-enhanced depiction of real-world objects or events which may include pictures, sounds, or texts; superimposing or overlaying real objects with digital information
- **Clicker:** A hand-held device used by students to respond to questions posed by an instructor; responses are recorded and tallied by a combination of software and hardware to display visual feedback
- **Collaborative Learning:** A generic umbrella term to describe any of a number of instructional approaches in which small groups of students work together to solve a problem, complete a task, or create a product
- **Concept Mapping:** A technique for creating two-dimensional, hierarchical, nodelink diagrams depicting the most important concepts and relationships in a knowledge domain
- **Constructivism:** An epistemological position based on the idea that learning is a product of "mental construction"; learners construct their own understanding by relating new knowledge with what they already know; may include radical, cognitive, and/or social elements