



**LUND UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF LAW**



**HOCHIMINH CITY  
UNIVERSITY OF LAW**

**TRAN HOANG NGA**

**REGULATIONS  
AGAINST ABUSIVE PRICING  
– A COMPARISON OF EU, US, AND  
VIETNAMESE LAWS  
AND AN APPLICATION OF ITS RESULTS TO  
VIETNAM**

**Field of Study: International and Comparative Law**

**Code: 62.38.60.01**

**DOCTORAL DISSERTATION OF LAW**

**HO CHI MINH CITY - 2011**



**LUND UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF LAW**



**HOCHIMINH CITY  
UNIVERSITY OF LAW**

**TRAN HOANG NGA**

**REGULATIONS  
AGAINST ABUSIVE PRICING  
– A COMPARISON OF EU, US, AND  
VIETNAMESE LAWS  
AND AN APPLICATION OF ITS RESULTS TO  
VIETNAM**

**Field of Study: International and Comparative Law**

**Code: 62.38.60.01**

**DOCTORAL DISSERTATION OF LAW**

*Swedish Supervisor*

*Prof. Hans Henrik Lidgard*

*Vietnamese Supervisor*

*Asst. Prof. Le Thi Bich Tho*

**HO CHI MINH CITY - 2011**

# Table of Contents

<b>PREFACE</b> .....	5
<b>List of Abbreviations</b> .....	7
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	9
<b>1.1. Background</b> .....	9
1.1.1. Relationship of competition and monopoly .....	9
1.1.2. Pricing in competition and monopoly.....	11
1.1.3. Monopoly control laws in US, EU and Vietnam .....	11
<b>1.2. Purposes:</b> .....	25
<b>1.3. Definition and delimitation</b> .....	26
<b>1.4. Methods</b> .....	29
<b>1.5. Value of the research:</b> .....	31
<b>1.6. Outline</b> .....	31
<b>2. REGULATIONS AGAINST ABUSIVE PRICING UNDER EU AND US LAW</b> .....	33
<b>2.1. Basic rules and concepts on abusive pricing in EU and US</b> .....	33
2.1.1. Basic rules .....	33
2.1.1.1. EU Competition Law and US Anti-Trust Law are directed against abusive conduct, which includes abusive pricing .....	33
2.1.1.2. Laws against abusive pricing in the EU and the US protect Competition, not Competitors.....	45
2.1.2. Concept of Dominant position, Market power and Monopoly power .....	48
2.1.2.1. General approach: .....	48
2.1.2.2. Identification: .....	51
2.1.3. The Relevant Market concept.....	57
2.1.3.1. The relevant product market: .....	59
2.1.3.2. The relevant geographic market:.....	62
<b>2.2. Specific forms of abusive pricing</b> .....	64
2.2.1. Excessive pricing:.....	64
2.2.1.1. Excessive pricing on the selling side.....	65
2.2.1.2. Excessive pricing on the buying side .....	73
2.2.1.3. Remarks .....	75
2.2.2. Predatory pricing .....	76
2.2.2.1. EU test of predatory pricing .....	83
2.2.3. Price Squeeze.....	86
2.2.3.1. Price squeeze in the US.....	88
2.2.3.2. Price squeeze in the EU.....	92
2.2.4. Price Discrimination .....	98
2.2.4.1. Price discrimination in the US .....	99
2.2.4.2. Price discrimination in the EU .....	102
2.2.5. Discount or rebate schemes .....	104

2.2.5.1.	Bundled discounts .....	105
2.2.5.2.	Single-product royalty discounts.....	110
2.2.6.	Remarks .....	116
<b>2.3.</b>	<b>Remedies to abusive pricing in EU and US laws .....</b>	<b>117</b>
2.3.1.	Conduct and Structural Remedies.....	118
2.3.1.1.	Termination of infringement .....	119
2.3.1.2.	Behavioural remedies .....	120
2.3.1.3.	Structural Remedies .....	121
2.3.2.	Monetary Remedies .....	125
2.3.2.1.	Fines and penalties .....	126
2.3.2.2.	Compensation.....	128
2.3.2.3.	Legal Fees .....	133
2.3.3.	Criminalization and Incarceration: .....	134
2.3.4.	Remarks .....	134
<b>3.</b>	<b><i>ABUSIVE PRICING IN VIETNAM COMPARED WITH EU AND</i></b>	
<b>US</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>3.1.</b>	<b>Background, basic rules and concepts.....</b>	<b>138</b>
3.1.1.	Gradual development of legislation and enforcement capacity .....	138
3.1.1.1.	Development of Vietnamese laws .....	138
3.1.1.2.	Competent Authorities for regulating abuses of dominance .....	146
3.1.2.	Recent practices related to of abuse of dominance .....	150
3.1.2.1.	Vinapco case .....	151
3.1.2.2.	Megastar case.....	153
3.1.2.3.	K+ issue .....	154
3.1.2.4.	“Electric pole war” .....	157
3.1.2.5.	Medicine and milk prices .....	161
3.1.3.	Basic rules .....	165
3.1.3.1.	Abusive conducts including abusive pricing.....	165
3.1.3.2.	Vietnamese Competition Law protects competition and competitors	170
3.1.4.	Concepts .....	171
3.1.4.1.	Dominance and monopoly position.....	171
3.1.4.2.	Relevant market: .....	175
<b>3.2.</b>	<b>Specific forms of abusive pricing in Vietnamese laws.....</b>	<b>177</b>
3.2.1.	Excessive pricing:.....	178
3.2.1.1.	Excessive pricing on the selling side.....	178
3.2.1.2.	Excessive pricing on the buying side .....	180
3.2.1.3.	Fixing a minimum re-selling price .....	182
3.2.2.	Predatory Pricing: .....	184
3.2.3.	Price Discrimination: .....	186
3.2.4.	Foreclosing competitors .....	187
3.2.5.	Remarks .....	189
<b>3.3.</b>	<b>Remedies to Abusive Pricing .....</b>	<b>190</b>
3.3.1.	Conduct and Structural Remedies.....	191
3.3.1.1.	Conduct remedies.....	191
3.3.1.2.	Structural remedies.....	192
3.3.2.	Monetary remedies .....	192
3.3.2.1.	Fine .....	192
3.3.2.2.	Compensation.....	193
3.3.3.	Remarks .....	194

<b>4. SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING VIETNAMESE REGULATIONS ON ABUSIVE PRICING AND CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>195</b>
<b>4.1. Suggestions for improving the presentation and communication of competition matters.....</b>	<b>196</b>
4.1.1. Publication of VCAD and VCC decisions.....	196
4.1.2. Categorize abuses of monopoly position along with abuse of a dominant position .....	197
4.1.3. Determination of a dominant position .....	198
4.1.3.1. Single firm dominance .....	198
4.1.3.2. Collective dominance.....	199
4.1.4. Determination of a relevant market .....	199
<b>4.2. Suggestions on regulations on abusive pricing .....</b>	<b>200</b>
4.2.1. Excessive pricing .....	200
4.2.2. Predatory pricing .....	202
4.2.3. Price discrimination.....	203
4.2.4. Market foreclosure.....	203
4.2.5. Price squeeze .....	203
4.2.6. Discount and rebate schemes.....	204
<b>4.3. Suggestions on remedies for abusive pricing .....</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>4.4. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>5. Annexes.....</b>	<b>208</b>
1 - Extract of the VLC.....	208
2 - Extract of the VLC – With suggested amendments .....	212
3 - Extract of Decree 116/2005 .....	216
4 - Extract of Decree 116/2005 – with suggested amendments.....	222
<b>Table of Cases.....</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>Official Documents.....</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>List of websites .....</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>236</b>



## PREFACE

This dissertation is the visible result of my Ph.D research within the framework of the joint doctoral program between Lund University Faculty of Law and Ho Chi Minh City University of Law, supported by SIDA's "Strengthening legal education in Vietnam" project. The research focuses on laws against abuse of a dominant position in the EU, the US and Vietnam utilizing a comparative law approach.

This dissertation would not have been accomplished without the help and contribution of several individuals, to whom I would like to express my deepest appreciation.

First, it was my great honour to be supervised by Professor Hans Henrik Lidgard and Associate Professor Le Thi Bich Tho. Professor Lidgard has given me invaluable guidance, advice and encouragement from the very first to the final steps of the research. He spent a great deal of his precious time reading and commenting my writing, and discussing the issues raised in my drafts. Associate Professor Le Thi Bich Tho provided me not only with warm encouragement throughout the whole process, but also with insightful comments, especially with regard to the approach to Vietnamese law in my dissertation. I would like to express my deep gratitude to Prof. Lidgard and Asst. Prof. Le Thi Bich Tho for being my teachers and supervisors throughout both my masters and doctoral degree programs. I am indebted to them for much of the professional legal content of my dissertation. Any remaining errors are entirely my own.

Second, I would like to send my special thanks to Mr. Robert Schwartz, who helped me to improve my writing in the English language. Moreover, he provided me with many practical comments and relevant and valuable information. Without his help, my dissertation would not reach the standard for international academic writing.

Third, I would like to thank Dr. Nguyen Thanh Tu, Professor Katarina Olsson, and all the other professors, doctors who were opponents or members of examining boards of annual prolongation seminars, during the course of which they gave me many meaningful comments and feedback on the content of drafts of this dissertation.

Fourth, one thing I will never forget is the support and encouragement of the Lund University Faculty of Law and Ho Chi Minh City University of Law during my research. I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Christina Moell, Prof. Bengt Lundell, Prof. Traskman, Asst. Prof. Mai Hong Quy, Dr. Bui Xuan Hai, and many other professors, lecturers, administrative staff and librarians of the two universities. In addition, I also would like to

send my thanks to Suffolk Law School, where I was aided in US antitrust law research. In particular my thanks go to Professor Stephen C. Hicks, Mr. Jonathan D. Messinger and Suffolk's administrative staff and librarians.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, my children, my friends and my students for their warm support, encouragement, care and love.

Ho Chi Minh City, August 31, 2011

*Tran, Hoang Nga*



## List of Abbreviations

<b>ASEAN</b>	Associations of South East Asian Nations
<b>AAC</b>	Average avoidable cost
<b>ATC</b>	Average total cost
<b>AVC</b>	Average variable cost
<b>CCHC</b>	Competition Case Handling Council (Vietnam)
<b>CIEM</b>	Central Institute for Economic Management (Vietnam)
<b>CJEU</b>	Court of Justice of European Union
<b>DOJ</b>	Department of Justice (US)
<b>EC</b>	European Community (Communities)
<b>EPL</b>	English Premier League
<b>EU</b>	European Union
<b>EVN</b>	Electricity of Vietnam Group
<b>FOEs</b>	Foreign owned enterprises
<b>FTAIA</b>	Foreign Trade and Antitrust Improvements Act (US)
<b>FTC</b>	Federal Trade Commission (US)
<b>GC</b>	General Court (EU)
<b>HCTV</b>	Hanoi Cable Television
<b>IDRC</b>	International Development Research Centre
<b>ICN</b>	International Competition Network
<b>LIRC</b>	Long run incremental cost
<b>LRAIC</b>	Long run average incremental cost
<b>MoF</b>	Ministry of Finance (Vietnam)
<b>MoIC</b>	Ministry of Information and Communication (Vietnam)
<b>MoIT</b>	Ministry of Industry and Trade (Vietnam)
<b>MPC</b>	Minimum – per – cap policy
<b>OECD</b>	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

<b>SOEs</b>	State owned enterprises
<b>SRMC</b>	Short-run marginal cost
<b>UN</b>	United Nations
<b>UNCTAD</b>	United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
<b>UNDP</b>	United Nations Development Programme
<b>US</b>	United States of America
<b>VCA</b>	Vietnam Competition Authority
<b>VCAD</b>	Vietnam Competition Administrative Department
<b>VCC</b>	Vietnam Competition Council
<b>VFF-FAN</b>	Vietnam football supporters association
<b>Viettel</b>	Army Telecom Group
<b>VLC</b>	Vietnam Law on Competition
<b>VNPT</b>	Vietnam Post and Telecommunications Group
<b>VSTV</b>	Viet Nam Satellite Digital Television
<b>VTV</b>	Vietnam National Television
<b>WTO</b>	World Trade Organization