

# A FINITENESS RESULT FOR ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF CERTAIN EXT-MODULES

MARKUS BRODMANN and LE THANH NHAN

**Abstract**<sup>1</sup>. Using some properties of unconditioned  $M$ -sequences in dimension  $> s$ , we give a finiteness result for the set  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M))$ .

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let  $R$  be a Noetherian commutative ring, let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and  $A$  an Artinian  $R$ -module.

For an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , it was shown in [B] that the two sequences of associated primes

$$\text{Ass}_R(M/I^n M) \text{ and } \text{Ass}_R(I^n M/I^{n+1} M), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

eventually become constant for large  $n$ . Sharp [Sh] proved the dual result for Artinian modules:  $\text{Att}_R(0 :_A I^n)$  and  $\text{Att}_R((0 :_A I^n)/(0 :_A I^{n-1}))$  do not depend on  $n$  for  $n$  large. Starting from the observation that  $M/I^n M \cong \text{Tor}_0^R(R/I^n, M)$  and  $0 :_A I^n \cong \text{Ext}_R^0(R/I^n, A)$  for any  $n$ , Melkersson and Schenzel [MS] extended the above results as follows: For any given integer  $i \geq 0$ , the sequences

$$\text{Ass}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(R/I^n, M)) \text{ and } \text{Att}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, A)), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

become independent of  $n$  for large  $n$ . Melkersson and Schenzel [MS] also asked whether the set  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M))$  is independent of  $n$  for large  $n$ .

In fact,  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M))$  is not a finite set in general, and therefore the set  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M))$  depends on  $n$  for  $n$  large. Indeed, Katzman [Ka, Corollary 1.3] gave an example of a Noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with two elements  $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x,y)R}^2(R))$  is an infinite set. Therefore the set  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^2(R/(x, y)^n, R))$  is infinite.

For convenience, for a subset  $T$  of  $\text{Spec } R$  and an integer  $i \geq 0$ , we set

$$(T)_i := \{\mathfrak{p} \in T : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\};$$

$$(T)_{\geq i} := \{\mathfrak{p} \in T : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq i\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>*Key words and phrases:* Supports of local cohomology modules, associated primes, filter regular sequences,  $M$ -sequences in dimension  $> s$ .

*2000 Subject Classification:* 13D45, 13E05.

The second author was partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Project No 20-103491/1).

For an integer  $i \geq 0$ , an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , and a system  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of elements in  $R$ , we set

$$T^i(I, M) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M));$$

$$T^i(\underline{a}, M) := \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k}), M)).$$

In this paper, we prove the following finiteness result for the sets  $T^i(I, M)$  and  $T^i(\underline{a}, M)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 1$  be integers. Assume that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$ . Then for any system of generators  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of  $I$  and for all integers  $t \leq r$ , the sets  $\left(T^t(I, M)\right)_{\geq s}$  and  $\left(T^t(\underline{a}, M)\right)_{\geq s}$  are contained in the finite set  $\bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 1$  be integers. Assume that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  be a sequence which is at the same time an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  and an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  (such sequences exist by Proposition 2.5). Then for any system of generators  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of  $I$  and for all integers  $t \leq r$ , the sets  $\left(T^t(I, M)\right)_{\geq s}$  and  $\left(T^t(\underline{a}, M)\right)_{\geq s}$  are contained in the finite set*

$$\left(\text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M)\right)_{\geq s+1} \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M)\right)_s.$$

## 2 Unconditioned $M$ -sequences in dimension $> s$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $s \geq 0$  be an integer, let  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$  be a sequence. We say that  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  if  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is a poor  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) > s$ .

Observe that  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  if and only if  $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \left(\text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M)\right)_{\geq s+1}$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

Assume that  $R$  is local. Then  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> 0$  if and only if it is a filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  in sense of [Cst]. Moreover,  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> 1$  if and only if it is a generalized regular sequence with respect to  $M$  in sense of [Nh].

**Reminder 2.2.** (a) Let  $I$  be an ideal. A sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  is called an  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  if  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \text{Var}(I)$ . It is equivalent to say that  $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M) \setminus \text{Var}(I)$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

(b) (cf. [NS, 3.4], [Kh, 2.1]). If  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  then

$$H_I^j(M) = \begin{cases} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)R}^j(M), & \text{if } j < r \\ H_I^{j-r}(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)R}^r(M)), & \text{if } j \geq r. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.3.** A sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in R$  is called an *unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$*  if  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(r)}$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  for all permutations  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_r$ . Similarly, a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  is called an *unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$*  if  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(r)}$  is an  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  for all permutations  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_r$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $s \geq 0$  be an integer, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

(a) Let  $r > 0$  be an integer. Then  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$  if and only if there exists an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  of length  $r$  in  $I$ .

(b) If  $\dim(M/IM) > s$  then each  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  may be extended to a maximal  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$ . Moreover, all maximal  $M$ -sequences in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  have the same length, and this common length is equal to the least integer  $i$  such that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) > s$ .

(c) If  $\dim(M/IM) \leq s$  then there exists an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  of length  $n$  for any integer  $n > 0$ .

*Proof.* (a). Assume that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$ . We prove by induction on  $r$  that there is a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  which is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . Let  $r \geq 1$ . Then  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^0(M))) \leq s$ . Hence  $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in (\text{Ass}_R M)_{>s+1}$ . Therefore there exists an element  $x_1 \in I$  which is  $M$ -regular in dimension  $> s$ . This proves the case  $r = 1$ . Let  $r > 1$  and set  $x_1 = x$ . Then  $\dim(0 :_M x) \leq s$ . From the exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow 0 :_M x \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/(0 :_M x) \longrightarrow 0$  we get an exact sequence

$$H_I^i(M) \longrightarrow H_I^i(M/(0 :_M x)) \longrightarrow H_I^{i+1}(0 :_M x)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ . As  $\dim(0 :_M x) \leq s$ , we have  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(0 :_M x))) \leq s$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore, by our hypothesis,  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M/(0 :_M x)))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M/(0 :_M x) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/xM \longrightarrow 0$$

we get an exact sequence  $H_I^i(M) \longrightarrow H_I^i(M/xM) \longrightarrow H_I^{i+1}(M/(0 :_M x))$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . So,  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M/xM))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r-1$ . By induction, there exists a sequence  $x_2, \dots, x_r$  in  $I$  which is an  $M/xM$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . So,  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$ .

Conversely, assume  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  such that  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) > s$ . Then  $\frac{x_1}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_r}{1}$  is a poor  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -sequence in  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . So,  $H_{I_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  for all  $i < r$ . Therefore  $\dim(\text{Supp } H_I^i(M)) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$ .

(b). Since  $\dim(M/IM) > s$ , there is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\dim(M_{\mathfrak{m}}/IM_{\mathfrak{m}}) > s$ . Note that each  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  is an  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $IR_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

As  $\dim(M_{\mathfrak{m}}/IM_{\mathfrak{m}}) > s$ , each  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $IR_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a part of a system of parameters of  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Therefore the length of an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  is at most  $\dim M_{\mathfrak{m}} - s - 1$ . So, there is a common bound on the lengths of all  $M$ -sequences in dimension  $> s$  which consist of elements in  $I$ . Therefore, each  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  may be extended to a maximal  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  be maximal  $M$ -sequences in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$ . Assume that  $r \neq t$ , say  $r < t$ . By (a),  $\dim(\text{Supp } H_I^i(M)) \leq s$  for all  $i \leq r$ . Similar as in the proof of (a), it follows by induction on  $k$  that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M/(x_1, \dots, x_k)M))) \leq s$  for all  $i \leq r - k$  and all  $k \leq r$ . Thus  $\dim(H_I^0(M/(x_1, \dots, x_r)M)) \leq s$ . Therefore there is an element in  $I$  which is  $M/(x_1, \dots, x_r)M$ -regular in dimension  $> s$ . This is a contradiction to the maximality of the sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . So, all maximal  $M$ -sequences in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  have the same length and by (a) this length has the stated value.

(c) is clear. □

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $s \geq 0$  and  $r \geq 1$  be integers, and let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. If  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq s$  for all  $i < r$  then there is a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  in  $I$  which is at the same time an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  and an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $r$ . Let  $r = 1$ . Set

$$C_1 := (\text{Ass}_R M)_{\geq s+1} \cup (\text{Ass}_R M \setminus \text{Var}(I)).$$

Since  $\dim(H_I^0(M)) \leq s$ , it follows that  $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in (\text{Ass}_R M)_{\geq s+1}$ . Therefore, by Prime Avoidance, there exists an element  $x_1 \in I$  such that  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in C_1$ . It is clear that  $x_1$  is an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  and an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$ .

Let  $r > 1$  and assume that the result is true for  $r - 1$ . Then there exists a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}$  in  $I$  which is an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  and an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$ . By Lemma 2.4 and by the assumption, for any subset  $J$  of  $\{1, \dots, r - 1\}$ , the sequence  $(x_j)_{j \in J}$  can be extended to an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$  of length  $r$ . Therefore for any subset  $J$  of  $\{1, \dots, r - 1\}$ , there exists an  $(M/\sum_{j \in J} x_j M)$ -regular element in dimension  $> s$  in  $I$ . It follows that  $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in (\text{Ass}_R (M/\sum_{j \in J} x_j M))_{\geq s+1}$  and all subsets  $J$  of  $\{1, \dots, r - 1\}$ . By Prime Avoidance, we can choose an element  $x_r \in I$  such that  $x_r \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in C_r$ , where

$$C_r := \left( \bigcup_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \text{Ass}_R(M/\sum_{j \in J} x_j M) \right)_{\geq s+1} \cup \left( \bigcup_{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, r-1\}} \text{Ass}_R(M/\sum_{j \in J} x_j M) \setminus \text{Var}(I) \right).$$

We first show that  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$  be a permutation of  $1, \dots, r$ . Assume that  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(r)}$  is not an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . Let  $n \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  be the least integer such that  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}$  is not an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . Then  $r = \sigma(i)$  for some  $i < n$  by our choice

of  $x_r$ , and there is some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)})M) \right)_{\geq s+1}$  such that  $x_{\sigma(n)} \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)} \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\frac{x_{\sigma(1)}}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_{\sigma(n)}}{1}$  is not a regular sequence w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore  $\frac{x_{\sigma(1)}}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_{\sigma(i-1)}}{1}, \frac{x_{\sigma(i+1)}}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_{\sigma(n)}}{1}, \frac{x_{\sigma(i)}}{1}$  is not a regular sequence w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Set  $J := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq n, j \neq i\}$ . As  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) > s$ , we know that  $\left(\frac{x_{\sigma(j)}}{1}\right)_{j \in J}$  is a regular sequence w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore  $\frac{x_r}{1} = \frac{x_{\sigma(i)}}{1}$  is not a regular element w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\sum_{j \in J} x_{\sigma(j)}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . So, there exists  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\frac{x_r}{1} \in \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\sum_{j \in J} x_{\sigma(j)}M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ . It follows that  $x_r \in \mathfrak{q} \in \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/\sum_{j \in J} x_{\sigma(j)}M) \right)_{\geq s+1} \subseteq C_r$ . This gives a contradiction.

Finally, we need to prove that  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$ . Assume that  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(r)}$  is not an  $I$ -filter regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_r$ . Let  $n$  be the least integer such that  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}$  is not an  $I$ -filter regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$ . By our choice of  $x_r$ , we have  $r = \sigma(i)$  for some  $i < n$  and there exists some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)})M) \setminus \text{Var}(I)$  such that  $x_{\sigma(n)} \in \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)} \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\frac{x_{\sigma(1)}}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_{\sigma(n)}}{1}$  is not a regular sequence w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore  $\frac{x_{\sigma(1)}}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_{\sigma(i-1)}}{1}, \frac{x_{\sigma(i+1)}}{1}, \dots, \frac{x_{\sigma(n)}}{1}, \frac{x_{\sigma(i)}}{1}$  is not a regular sequence w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Set  $J := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \leq n, j \neq i\}$ . As  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Var}(I)$ , we know that  $\left(\frac{x_{\sigma(j)}}{1}\right)_{j \in J}$  is a regular sequence w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore  $\frac{x_r}{1} = \frac{x_{\sigma(i)}}{1}$  is not a regular element w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\sum_{j \in J} x_{\sigma(j)}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . So, there exists some  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\frac{x_r}{1} \in \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\sum_{j \in J} x_{\sigma(j)}M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ . It follows that  $x_r \in \mathfrak{q} \in \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/\sum_{j \in J} x_{\sigma(j)}M) \right)_{\geq s+1} \setminus \text{Var}(I) \subseteq C_r$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $s$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  be an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . Then*

$$\left( \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_t^{n_t})M) \right)_{\geq s} = \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M) \right)_{\geq s}.$$

*In particular, the set  $\left( \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_t^{n_t})M) \right)_{\geq s}$  is finite.*

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction on  $t$ . Let  $t = 1$  and we write  $x_1 = x$ . We will show by induction on  $n_1 = n$  that  $\left( \text{Ass}_R(M/x^n M) \right)_{\geq s} \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/xM)$ . The case  $n = 1$  is clear.

Let  $n > 1$  and assume that the result is true for  $n - 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/x^n M) \right)_{\geq s}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/x^n M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . If  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) > s$  then  $x$  is a regular element w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}/xM_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/xM)$ . Assume that  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = s$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow x^{n-1}M/x^n M \longrightarrow M/x^n M \longrightarrow M/x^{n-1}M \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/x^{n-1}M) \cup \text{Ass}_R(x^{n-1}M/x^n M)$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/x^{n-1}M)$  then by induction  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/xM)$ . So, assume that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(x^{n-1}M/x^n M)$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (x^n M :_M x^{n-1})/xM \longrightarrow M/xM \longrightarrow x^{n-1}M/x^n M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Set  $K = (x^n M :_M x^{n-1})/xM$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp}(K)$ . Then the above exact sequence shows that  $(M/xM)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (x^{n-1}M/x^n M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(x^{n-1}M/x^n M)$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/xM)$ . So, we assume that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(K)$ . Note that for any  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}(M)$  satisfying  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{q}) > s$ , we have  $K_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$  as  $x$  is a poor regular element w.r.t.  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . So  $\dim(K) \leq s$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal element in  $\text{Supp}(K)$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(K) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/xM)$ . So, the result is true for  $t = 1$ .

Let  $t > 1$  and assume that the result is true for  $t - 1$ . Let  $n_1, \dots, n_t$  be arbitrary positive integers. Since  $x_t$  is an  $M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{t-1}^{n_{t-1}})M$ -regular element in dimension  $> s$ , we get by the case  $t = 1$  that

$$\left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_t^{n_t})M) \right)_{\geq s} \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{t-1}^{n_{t-1}}, x_t)M).$$

Since  $x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}$  is an unconditioned  $M/x_t M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ , we have by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{t-1}^{n_{t-1}}, x_t)M) \right)_{\geq s} &= \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_t, x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{t-1}^{n_{t-1}})M) \right)_{\geq s} \\ &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M). \end{aligned}$$

This proves our claim.  $\square$

In general, a permutation of an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$  is not an  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ . In particular, a permutation of a generalized regular sequence is not necessarily a generalized regular sequence. For example, let  $R = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]]$  be the ring of power series in 5 variables over a field  $k$ . Let  $M = R/(x_1) \cap (x_2, x_3, x_4)$ . Then  $\dim M = 4$  and  $x_5, x_2$  is a generalized regular sequence w.r.t  $M$ , but  $x_2, x_5$  is not a generalized regular sequence w.r.t  $M$ . This fact shows that Lemma 2.2(i),(ii) of [Nh] is not correct. It follows that the proof of [Nh, 3.1] is not correct, too. The next corollary is an improvement and also a correction of [Nh, 3.1].

**Corollary 2.7.** *Assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_t$  is an unconditioned generalized regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$ . Then*

$$\bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_t^{n_t})M) \setminus \text{Max } R \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M).$$

In particular, if in addition  $R$  is local, then  $\bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_t^{n_t})M)$  is a finite set.

*Proof.* The proof is immediate by setting  $s = 1$  in Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

### 3 Finiteness results

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal with  $\text{depth}(I, M) = t$ . Then it is well known that

$$\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)) = \text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $t$  be a positive integer. Set  $P_t = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Supp}(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I^n, M)) \cup P_t &= \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k}), M)) \cup P_t \\ &= \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)) \cup P_t = \text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)) \cup P_t \end{aligned}$$

for any system of generators  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of  $I$  and all positive integers  $n, n_1, \dots, n_k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \notin P_t$ . Then for any  $i < t$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0.$$

Therefore  $\text{depth}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq t$ . Let  $n, n_1, \dots, n_k$  be positive integers. It is clear that

$$\text{depth}(I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}((a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k})R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

We first suppose that  $\text{depth}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) > t$ . Then the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k})R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,  $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  are zero. So,  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not belong to any of the four sets  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I^n, M))$ ,  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k}), M))$ ,  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M))$  and  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M))$ .

Assume that  $\text{depth}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t$ . Since  $\text{rad}(I) = \text{rad}(I^n) = \text{rad}((a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k})R)$ , we have by Remark 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})) &= \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) \\ &= \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k})R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I^n, M)) &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/(a_1^{n_1}, \dots, a_k^{n_k}), M)). \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows immediately.  $\square$

The next lemma follows easily by induction on  $t$  using Remark 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any integer  $t \geq 0$  we have*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^t \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Supp}(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M)) \right) = \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Supp}(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)) = \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M)).$$

It should be mentioned that the equalities  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)) \cup P_t = \text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)) \cup P_t$  in Lemma 3.2 and  $\bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Supp}(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)) = \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  in Lemma 3.3 have been proved by Cuong-Hoang [CH].

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $t \leq r$  be a non-negative integer. Set  $P_t = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Supp}(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M))$ .

Then  $P_t = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  by Lemma 3.3. Therefore, by our assumption,  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq s$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in P_t$ . Now, let  $\mathfrak{p} \in (T^t(I, M))_{\geq s} \cup (T^t(\underline{a}, M))_{\geq s}$ . If  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) > s$  then  $\mathfrak{p} \notin P_t$ . So, we get by Lemma 3.2 that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M))$ .

Let  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = s$ . It follows by Lemma 3.2 that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \left( \text{Ass}(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M) \cup P_t) \right)_s$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M))$  then  $\mathfrak{p} \in P_t$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$  for some  $i < t$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M))$  for some  $i < t$ . Thus,  $T^t(I, M)$  and  $T^t(\underline{a}, M)$  are subsets of  $\bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M))$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $t \leq r$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in (T^t(I, M))_{\geq s} \cup (T^t(\underline{a}, M))_{\geq s}$ . Let  $i < t$ . Since  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq s$ , any prime ideal in  $\left( \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M)) \right)_{\geq s}$  is minimal in  $\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  and hence associated to  $H_I^i(M)$ . It follows that

$$\left( \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M)) \right)_{\geq s} = \left( \text{Ass}_R(H_I^i(M)) \right)_s \text{ for all } i < t.$$

So, we get by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Ass}_R(H_I^i(M)) \right)_s \cup \left( \text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)) \right)_{\geq s}$ .

As  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  is an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence w.r.t.  $M$ , we have by 2.2 that  $H_I^i(M) \cong H_I^0(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M))$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Therefore, for each  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , we have

$$\text{Ass}_R H_I^i(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_i)R}^i(M)) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_i^n)M).$$

As  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is an unconditioned  $M$ -sequence in dimension  $> s$ , we get by Proposition 2.6 that

$$\left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_i^n)M) \right)_s = \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M) \right)_s$$



for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , and

$$\left( \bigcup_{n \in N} \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_t^n)M) \right)_{\geq s+1} = \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M) \right)_{\geq s+1}.$$

If  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) > s$  then  $t = r$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R H_I^t(M)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M) \right)_{\geq s+1}$ .

If  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = s$  then

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcup_{i=0}^t \left( \text{Ass}_R(H_I^i(M)) \right)_s = \bigcup_{i=0}^t \left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M) \right)_s.$$

Now the result follows immediately.  $\square$

Replacing  $s$  by 1 in Theorems 1,2 and on use of Lemma 3.2 we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $r > 0$  be an integer such that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq 1$  for all  $i < r$ . Let  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a system of generators of  $I$ . Then*

(a) *For any integer  $t \leq r$ , the sets  $T^t(I, M)$  and  $T^t(\underline{a}, M)$  are contained in the set*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)) \cup \left( \text{Max}(R) \cap \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Supp}(H_I^i(M)) \right).$$

(b) *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  be an unconditioned generalized regular sequence with respect to  $M$  which is an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  (such sequences exist by Proposition 2.5). Then for any integer  $t \leq r$ , the sets  $T^t(I, M)$  and  $T^t(\underline{a}, M)$  are contained*

*in the set  $\left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M) \right)_{\geq 2} \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M) \right)_1 \cup \text{Max}(R)$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** Let  $R$  be local. In Khashyarmarmanesh [Kh], it is shown that if  $H_I^i(M)$  is of finite support for all  $i < r$  then the set  $(T^r(I, M))_{\geq 2} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in T^r(I, M) : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 1\}$  is finite. Corollary 3.4 shows that even  $T^r(I, M)$  is a finite set.

Setting  $s = 0$  in Theorems 1,2 we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $r > 0$  be an integer such that  $\dim(\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))) \leq 0$  for all  $i < r$ . Let  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  be a system of generators of  $I$ . Then*

(a) *For any integer  $t \leq r$ , the sets  $T^t(I, M)$  and  $T^t(\underline{a}, M)$  are contained in the finite set*

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)).$$

(b) *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$  be an unconditioned filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  which is an unconditioned  $I$ -filter regular sequence with respect to  $M$  (such sequences exist by Proposition 2.5). Then for any integer  $t \leq r$ , the sets  $T^t(I, M)$  and  $T^t(\underline{a}, M)$  are contained*

*in the finite set  $\left( \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M) \right)_{\geq 1} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M)$ .*

**Acknowledgment.** The second author is deeply grateful to the Institute of Mathematics of the University of Zürich (Switzerland) for the support while completing this work.

## References

- [M] M. Brodmann, *Asymptotic stability of  $\text{Ass}_R(M/I^n M)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **(1) 74** (1979), 16-18.
- [BS] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, “Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications”, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [CH] N. T. Cuong and N. V. Hoang, *Some finite properties of generalized local cohomology modules*, Proceeding of the second Japan-Vietnam joint seminar on Commutative Algebra, March 20-26, 2006, Meiji University.
- [Cts] N. T. Cuong, P. Schenzel and N. V. Trung, *Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay Moduln*, Math. Nachr, **85** (1978), 57-73.
- [Ka] M. Katzman, *An example of an infinite set of associated primes of a local cohomology module*, J. Algebra, **252** (2002), 161-166.
- [Kh] K. Khashyarmansh, *On the finiteness properties of  $\bigcup_i \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}^i, M))$* , Comm. Algebra, **34** (2006), 779-784.
- [NS] U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, *Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, In: Commutative Algebra: Syzygies, Multiplicities, and Birational Algebra (South Hadley, 1992). Contemp. Math., 159. Amer. Math. Soc., (1994), 307-328.
- [Nh] L. T. Nhan, *On generalized regular sequences and the finiteness for associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra, **33** (2005), 793-806.
- [MS] L. Melkersson and P. Schenzel, *Asymptotic prime ideals related to derived functors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **(4) 117** (1993), 935-938.
- [Sh] R. Y. Sharp, *Asymptotic behaviour of certain sets of attached prime ideals*, J. London Math. Soc., **(2) 34** (1986), 212-218.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

E-mail address: brodmann@math.unizh.ch

Natural Sciences College, Thai Nguyen University, Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

E-mail address: trtrnhan@yahoo.com